arXiv:0706.0409v2 [hep-th] 2 Aug 2007

arXiv:0706.0409
KEK-TH-1156
RIKEN-TH-102
June, 2007

Comments on Solutions for Nonsingular Currents in Open String Field Theories

Isao Kishimoto[1,*] and Yoji Michishita[2,**]

[1] *Theoretical Physics Laboratory, RIKEN,*
Wako 351-0198, Japan
[2] *High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK),*
Tsukuba 305-0801, Japan

Abstract

We investigate analytic solutions to Witten's bosonic string field theory and Berkovits' WZW-type superstring field theory. We construct solutions with parameters out of simpler ones, using a commutative monoid that includes the family of wedge states. Our solutions are generalizations of solutions for marginal deformations by nonsingular currents, and can also reproduce Schnabl's tachyon vacuum solution in bosonic string field theory. This implies that such known solutions are generated from simple solutions which are based on the identity state. We also discuss gauge transformations and induced field redefinitions for our solutions in both bosonic and super string field theory.

---

[*] E-mail: ikishimo@riken.jp
[**] E-mail: michishi@post.kek.jp

typeset using $\mathcal{PTP}$TEX.cls ⟨Ver.0.9⟩

§1. Introduction

The study of analytic solutions of string field theories is important in order to understand nonperturbative phenomena in string theory. There have been various attempts to solve the equations of motion of string field theories. In particular, since Schnabl constructed an analytic solution for tachyon condensation$^{1)}$ in Witten's cubic open string field theory, there have been a number of new developments in this field. Recently, new analytic solutions for marginal deformations using nonsingular currents have been proposed by Schnabl$^{2)}$ and Kiermaier et al.$^{3)}$ They can be regarded as an application of the technical methods developed in Refs. 1), 4) and 5). As a generalization of Refs. 2) and 3) to Berkovits' WZW-type open superstring field theory, new analytic solutions to the equation of motion have also been constructed by Erler$^{6)}$ and Okawa.$^{7),8)}$

In this paper, we consider a generalization of $\Psi = \sqrt{|0\rangle} * (1+\hat{\psi} * A)^{-1} * \hat{\psi} * \sqrt{|0\rangle}$, which is the expression of the solution for marginal deformations given in Ref. 2), in both Witten's bosonic string field theory and Berkovits' WZW-type superstring field theory. We construct solutions to the equation of motion

$$Q_{\mathrm{B}}\Psi + \Psi * \Psi = 0 \tag{1·1}$$

in bosonic string field theory and[***]

$$\eta_0(e^{-\Phi}Q_{\mathrm{B}}e^{\Phi}) = 0 \tag{1·2}$$

in superstring field theory with parameters from rather simple ones, which we denote by $\hat{\psi}$ in bosonic string field theory and $\hat{\phi}$ in superstring field theory, using a commutative monoid $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geq 0}$ with respect to the star product. A generalization of A in Ref. 5), which connects any element P_α to the identity element, i.e. $P_{\alpha=0} = I$ (the identity state), is also necessary in our method. A simple and familiar example of a commutative monoid is the family of wedge states. In bosonic string field theory, this $\hat{\psi}$ is BRST invariant and nilpotent, i.e. $Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\psi} = 0$ and $\hat{\psi} * \hat{\psi} = 0$. Similarly, $\hat{\phi}$ satisfies $\eta_0 Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} = 0$, $\hat{\phi} * \hat{\phi} = 0$, $\hat{\phi} * \eta_0\hat{\phi} = 0$ and $\hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} = 0$. Explicit examples of $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ can be readily obtained using the identity state. When we construct $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ from (super) currents, the nilpotency (and $\hat{\phi} * \eta_0\hat{\phi} = 0$, $\hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} = 0$) corresponds to the condition that the (super) currents be nonsingular. If we use another $\hat{\psi}$, we obtain Schnabl's solution for tachyon condensation and its generalization.

The above facts imply that the recently developed solutions mentioned above are all generated from the simple solutions $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$, which are based on the identity state. Also note that certain analytic solutions using the identity state were studied before Schnabl presented the solution in Ref. 1). Considering these points, our observation regarding analytic solutions in bosonic and super string field theory might be useful for the purpose of reconsidering previously derived solutions and developing other new solutions.

[***] We often omit the symbol $*$ representing the star product for simplicity.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2, we construct two-parameter solutions $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ to the equation of motion (1·1) in bosonic string field theory constructed from $\hat{\psi}$, and then we investigate marginal solutions and tachyon solutions by choosing a particular $\hat{\psi}$. In §3, noting the solutions in Refs. 6) and 7), we construct four types of solutions, $\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}$ $(i=1,2,3,4)$, to the equation of motion (1·2) in a manner analogous to that used in our method applied to bosonic string field theory. We also comment on the reality condition for the string field $\Phi$$^{6)}$ and solutions in path-ordered form developed in Ref. 8). In §4, we study gauge transformations and induced field redefinitions for our solutions in both bosonic and super string field theory. In §5, we summarize our results and discuss future directions.

§2. Solutions in bosonic string field theory

Let us consider a family of BRST invariant string fields P_α $(\alpha \geq 0)$ with ghost number zero, which satisfy

$$Q_{\rm B}P_\alpha = 0\,, \quad P_\alpha * P_\beta = P_{\alpha+\beta}\,, \quad P_{\alpha=0} = I. \tag{2·1}$$

Here I is the identity string field. Thus, such a family of string fields $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geq 0}$ forms a commutative monoid with respect to the star product. In the following, we formally regard I as the identity element with respect to the star product of string fields. We suppose that there exists a string field $A^{(\gamma)}$ for the above family satisfying

$$Q_{\rm B}A^{(\gamma)} = I - P_\gamma\,. \tag{2·2}$$

If we have a BRST invariant and nilpotent string field $\hat{\psi}$, i.e.

$$Q_{\rm B}\hat{\psi} = 0, \quad \hat{\psi} * \hat{\psi} = 0\,, \tag{2·3}$$

with ghost number 1, then

$$\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)} = P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi} * A^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\psi} * P_\beta \tag{2·4}$$

represents a solution to the equation of motion (1·1). Using the above assumption and the derivation property of the BRST operator $Q_{\rm B}$, this can be proven as follows:

$$Q_{\rm B}\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)} = P_\alpha * Q_{\rm B}\left(\frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi} * A^{(\alpha+\beta)}}\right) * \hat{\psi} * P_\beta$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * (Q_{\mathrm{B}}(I+\hat{\psi}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)})) * \frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\psi} * P_\beta \\
&= P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\psi} * (Q_{\mathrm{B}}A^{(\alpha+\beta)}) * \frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\psi} * P_\beta \\
&= P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\psi} * (I - P_{\alpha+\beta}) * \frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\psi} * P_\beta \\
&= P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\psi} * \hat{\psi} * \frac{1}{1+A^{(\alpha+\beta)}*\hat{\psi}} * P_\beta \\
&\quad -P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\psi} * P_\beta * P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\psi} * P_\beta \\
&= -\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)} * \Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)} \,. \qquad (2\cdot5)
\end{aligned}
$$

The assumption concerning $\hat{\psi}$ given in (2·3) implies that $\hat{\psi}$ itself satisfies the equation of motion $Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\psi} + \hat{\psi} * \hat{\psi} = 0$ trivially. Therefore, we can also interpret (2·4) as representing a transformation from a rather trivial solution $\hat{\psi}$ to another solution. If we replace $\hat{\psi}$ with $\lambda\hat{\psi}$ (where λ is a parameter), the condition (2·3) is also satisfied. In this sense, the new solution (2·4) obtained from a BRST and nilpotent string field can naturally have a one-parameter dependence.†

The most familiar example of commutative monoids (2·1) is given by the wedge states,

$$P_\alpha = U^\dagger_{\alpha+1} U_{\alpha+1}|0\rangle = e^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_0+\mathcal{L}_0^\dagger)}|0\rangle = e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha K_1^L} I\,, \qquad (2\cdot6)$$

and correspondingly, we can take

$$A^{(\gamma)} = \int_0^\gamma d\alpha \frac{\pi}{2} B_1^L P_\alpha\,, \qquad (2\cdot7)$$

where we have used the notation of Ref. 1):

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^{k+1}}{4k^2-1} b_{2k}, \quad \mathcal{B}_0^\dagger = b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^{k+1}}{4k^2-1} b_{-2k}, \qquad (2\cdot8)$$

$$B_1^L = \frac{1}{2}(b_1 + b_{-1}) + \frac{1}{\pi}(\mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_0^\dagger), \qquad (2\cdot9)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \{Q_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathcal{B}_0\}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_0^\dagger = \{Q_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathcal{B}_0^\dagger\}, \qquad K_1^L = \{Q_{\mathrm{B}}, B_1^L\}. \qquad (2\cdot10)$$

In Ref. 5), $A^{(\gamma=1)} = A$ plays an important role in the study of the vanishing cohomology around Schnabl's vacuum solution. If $\hat{\psi}$ satisfies the reality condition $\mathrm{bpz}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{hc}(\hat{\psi}) = \hat{\psi}$, where bpz and hc denote BPZ and Hermitian conjugation, respectively, our solution (2·4) with $\alpha = \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ also does, because $\mathrm{bpz}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{hc}(A^{(\gamma)}) = A^{(\gamma)}$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. We could also consider other families satisfying (2·1) and (2·2) using appropriate conformal frames studied in Refs. 4) and 9).

---

† Here, we should note that we can construct a solution to the equation of motion using the formula (2·4) from a solution $\hat{\psi}$ that satisfies $Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\psi} + \hat{\psi} * \hat{\psi} = 0$ instead of (2·3). This fact was pointed out by S. Zeze. The proof is almost the same as that above. However, in that case, we cannot replace $\hat{\psi}$ with $\lambda\hat{\psi}$, in general.

As an example of (2·3), let us consider

$$\hat{\psi} = \lambda_{\mathrm{s}} \hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{s}} + \lambda_{\mathrm{m}} \hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{m}} \,, \tag{2·11}$$

$$\hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{s}} = Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\Lambda}_0 \,, \qquad \hat{\Lambda}_0 \equiv U_1^\dagger U_1 B_1^L c_1 |0\rangle \,, \tag{2·12}$$

$$\hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{m}} = U_1^\dagger U_1 c J(0) |0\rangle \,, \tag{2·13}$$

where $J(z)$ is a matter primary field of dimension 1 such that $J(y)J(z)$ is not singular for $y \to z$. In the case $J = \zeta_a J^a$, with coefficients ζ_a, where J^a satisfies the OPE

$$J^a(y) J^b(z) \sim \frac{g^{ab}}{(y-z)^2} + \frac{1}{y-z} i f^{ab}{}_c J^c(z) + \cdots \tag{2·14}$$

(with $f^{ab}{}_c$ being the structure constant of the associated Lie algebra), the nonsingular condition for J is $\zeta_a \zeta_b g^{ab} = 0$. The quantities λ_{s} and λ_{m} in (2·11) are parameters.

In fact, the BRST invariance of $\hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{m}}$ follows trivially. The nilpotency of $\hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{m}}$ follows from[††]

$$\hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{m}} * \hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{m}} = U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c} \tilde{J}(0) |0\rangle * U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c} \tilde{J}(0) |0\rangle = U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c} \tilde{J}(0) \tilde{c} \tilde{J}(0) |0\rangle \,, \tag{2·16}$$

where $\tilde{c}(\tilde{z})$ and $\tilde{J}(\tilde{z})$ are fields on the semi-infinite cylinder C_π (the sliver frame) obtained with the conformal map $\tilde{z} = \arctan z$ from the conventional upper half plane, and we have $cJ(0)cJ(0) = 0$, which results from the nonsingular condition of the current J. Noting the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\Lambda}_0 * \hat{\Lambda}_0 &= K_1^L \hat{\Lambda}_0 * \hat{\Lambda}_0 - U_1^\dagger U_1 B_1^L \tilde{c} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{c}(0) |0\rangle * \hat{\Lambda}_0 \\
&= (K_1^L B_1^R U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1 |0\rangle) * U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1 |0\rangle - (B_1^R B_1^L U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{c}(0) |0\rangle) * U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1 |0\rangle \\
&= K_1^L (B_1 U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1 |0\rangle * U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1 |0\rangle) - K_1^L B_1^L (U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c}(0) |0\rangle * U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c}(0) |0\rangle) \\
&\quad + B_1^L (U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{\partial} \tilde{c}(0) |0\rangle) * U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c}(0) |0\rangle) \\
&= K_1^L U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1 |0\rangle - B_1^L U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{c}(0) |0\rangle = Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\Lambda}_0 \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2·17}$$

[††] In the following computations, we often use the following star product formula in the sliver frame:

$$\begin{aligned}
&U_r^\dagger U_r \tilde{\phi}_1(\tilde{x}_1) \cdots \tilde{\phi}_n(\tilde{x}_n) |0\rangle * U_s^\dagger U_s \tilde{\psi}_1(\tilde{y}_1) \cdots \tilde{\psi}_m(\tilde{y}_m) |0\rangle \\
&= U_{r+s-1}^\dagger U_{r+s-1} \tilde{\phi}_1(\tilde{x}_1 + \frac{\pi}{4}(s-1)) \cdots \tilde{\phi}_n(\tilde{x}_n + \frac{\pi}{4}(s-1)) \tilde{\psi}_1(\tilde{y}_1 - \frac{\pi}{4}(r-1)) \cdots \tilde{\psi}_m(\tilde{y}_m - \frac{\pi}{4}(r-1)) |0\rangle .
\end{aligned} \tag{2·15}$$

This formula is given in Ref. 1).

with the derivation property of $Q_{\rm B}$ and nilpotency, we have $Q_{\rm B}\hat{\Lambda}_0 * Q_{\rm B}\hat{\Lambda}_0 = 0$. Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\psi}_{\rm m} * \hat{\psi}_{\rm s} &= -Q_{\rm B}(\hat{\psi}_{\rm m} * \hat{\Lambda}_0) = -Q_{\rm B}(\hat{\psi}_{\rm m} * (|I\rangle - B_1^R U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1|0\rangle)) \\ &= -Q_{\rm B}B_1^R(\hat{\psi}_{\rm m} * U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c}(0)|0\rangle) = -Q_{\rm B}B_1^R U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c}\tilde{J}(0)\tilde{c}(0)|0\rangle = 0\,, \qquad (2\cdot18)\\ \hat{\psi}_{\rm s} * \hat{\psi}_{\rm m} &= Q_{\rm B}(\hat{\Lambda}_0 * \hat{\psi}_{\rm m}) = Q_{\rm B}B_1^L(U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c}(0)|0\rangle * \hat{\psi}_{\rm m}) \\ &= Q_{\rm B}B_1^L U_1^\dagger U_1 \tilde{c}(0)\tilde{c}\tilde{J}(0)|0\rangle = 0\,. \qquad (2\cdot19)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\hat{\psi}$ is BRST invariant and nilpotent for any values of $\lambda_{\rm s}$ and $\lambda_{\rm m}$. We note that $\hat{\psi}_{\rm m}$ and $\hat{\psi}_{\rm s}$ are "identity-based" solutions in the sense that $U_1^\dagger U_1 = e^{\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_0+\mathcal{L}_0^\dagger)}$ yields the identity state: $I = U_1^\dagger U_1|0\rangle$.

Although there exist other solutions to (2·3), such as $\hat{\psi} = Q_L I$,[10] we explicitly examine the solutions $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ appearing in (2·4) generated from $\hat{\psi}$ given by (2·11) in the cases $\lambda_{\rm s} = 0$ and $\lambda_{\rm m} = 0$. In the following, we take the family of wedge states as $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\ge 0}$ for simplicity.

2.1. *Solution for marginal deformation*

First, we consider the case $\lambda_{\rm s} = 0$ in (2·11). The solution (2·4) is expanded as

$$\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k \lambda_{\rm m}^{k+1} P_\alpha * (\hat{\psi}_{\rm m} * A^{(\alpha+\beta)})^k * \hat{\psi}_{\rm m} * P_\beta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\rm m}^n \psi_{{\rm m},n}\,, \qquad (2\cdot20)$$

where

$$\psi_{{\rm m},1} = U_{\alpha+\beta+1}^\dagger U_{\alpha+\beta+1}\tilde{c}\tilde{J}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha)\right)|0\rangle\,, \qquad (2\cdot21)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{{\rm m},k+1} = &\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^k \int_0^{\alpha+\beta} dr_1 \cdots \int_0^{\alpha+\beta} dr_k\, U^\dagger_{\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l} U_{\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l} \\ &\times \prod_{m=0}^{k} \tilde{J}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha-\sum_{l=1}^{m} r_l + \sum_{l=m+1}^{k} r_l)\right) \qquad (2\cdot22)\\ &\times\Bigg[-\frac{1}{\pi}\hat{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\beta-\alpha+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l\right)\right)\tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\beta-\alpha-\sum_{l=1}^k r_l\right)\right) \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}\left\{\tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\beta-\alpha+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l\right)\right)+\tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\beta-\alpha-\sum_{l=1}^k r_l\right)\right)\right\}\Bigg]|0\rangle\,.\end{aligned}$$

The quantities $\psi_{m,k}$ are characterized as follows:

$$Q_{\rm B}\psi_{{\rm m},1} = 0\,, \qquad \mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\psi_{{\rm m},1} = 0\,, \qquad (2\cdot23)$$

$$\psi_{{\rm m},k+1} = -\frac{\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}}{\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,\beta)}}\sum_{l=1}^{k}\psi_{{\rm m},l} * \psi_{{\rm m},k-l+1}\,, \qquad (2\cdot24)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta-1)\hat{\mathcal{B}} + \mathcal{B}_0 + \frac{\pi}{4}(\alpha-\beta)B_1\,, \tag{2·25}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}} \equiv \mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_0^\dagger\,, \quad B_1 = b_1 + b_{-1}\,, \tag{2·26}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \equiv \{Q_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta-1)\hat{\mathcal{L}} + \mathcal{L}_0 + \frac{\pi}{4}(\alpha-\beta)K_1\,, \tag{2·27}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_0^\dagger\,, \quad K_1 = L_1 + L_{-1}\,. \tag{2·28}$$

Here we have used the identities

$$e^{t\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,\beta)}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(e^t-1)(\alpha+\beta-1)\hat{\mathcal{L}}}\, e^{t\mathcal{L}_0}\, e^{\frac{\pi}{4}(\alpha-\beta)(1-e^{-t})K_1}, \tag{2·29}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}}{\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,\beta)}} = \mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\int_0^\infty dT\, e^{-T\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,\beta)}}, \tag{2·30}$$

$$e^{-T\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,\beta)}}U_\gamma^\dagger U_\gamma = U^\dagger_{(\gamma-\alpha-\beta-1)e^{-T}+\alpha+\beta+1}U_{(\gamma-\alpha-\beta-1)e^{-T}+\alpha+\beta+1}e^{-T\mathcal{L}_0}e^{\frac{\pi}{4}(\alpha-\beta)(1-e^T)K_1}, \tag{2·31}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}U_\gamma^\dagger U_\gamma = U_\gamma^\dagger U_\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta+1-\gamma)\hat{\mathcal{B}} + \mathcal{B}_0 + \frac{\pi}{4}(\alpha-\beta)B_1\right)\,, \tag{2·32}$$

$$\{\mathcal{B}_0, \tilde{c}(\tilde{z})\} = \tilde{z}\,, \qquad \{B_1, \tilde{c}(\tilde{z})\} = 1\,, \tag{2·33}$$

$$U_\beta\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{z})U_\beta^{-1} = (2/\beta)^{h_\phi}\tilde{\phi}((2/\beta)\tilde{z})\,, \qquad e^{aK_1}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{z})e^{-aK_1} = \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{z}+a) \tag{2·34}$$

to check the above relations. We thus find that the solution $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ given in (2·20) satisfies the "generalized Schnabl gauge" condition,[†††]

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)} = 0\,, \tag{2·35}$$

and at each order with respect to the parameter λ_{m}, we have

$$Q_{\mathrm{B}}\psi_{\mathrm{m},1} = 0\,, \qquad Q_{\mathrm{B}}\psi_{\mathrm{m},k+1} = -\sum_{l=1}^{k}\psi_{\mathrm{m},l} * \psi_{\mathrm{m},k-l+1}\,, \tag{2·36}$$

from (2·23) and (2·24), as noted in Ref. 12). Then, using the formula

$$P_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} * \psi * P_{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} = e^{(\beta-\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{2}K_1^R-(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{2}K_1^L}\psi = e^{\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha)K_1}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta-1)\hat{\mathcal{L}}}\psi, \tag{2·37}$$

from Ref. 1), and the relations

$$e^{t\hat{\mathcal{L}}} = (1-2t)^{\frac{D}{2}}(1-2t)^{-\mathcal{L}_0}\,, \qquad D \equiv \mathcal{L}_0 - \mathcal{L}_0^\dagger\,, \tag{2·38}$$

$$\psi_{\mathrm{m},k+1} = \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^k\int_0^{\alpha+\beta}dr_1\cdots\int_0^{\alpha+\beta}dr_k P_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} * \prod_{m=1}^{k}(cJ(0)|0\rangle * B_1^L|r_m\rangle) * cJ(0)|0\rangle * P_{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2·39}$$

[†††] In the case $\alpha=\beta\to\infty$, this gauge condition becomes "the modified Schnabl gauge" used in Ref. 11).

the solution $\varPsi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ can be rewritten as

$$\varPsi^{(\alpha,\beta)}=e^{\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha)K_1}(\alpha+\beta)^{\frac{D}{2}}\varPsi^{(1/2,1/2)}, \tag{2·40}$$

for $\alpha+\beta>0$, where both $K_1=L_1+L_{-1}$ and $D=\mathcal{L}_0-\mathcal{L}_0^\dagger$ are derivations with respect to the star product and are BPZ odd. The solution $\varPsi^{(1/2,1/2)}$ appearing here is investigated in Refs. 2) and 3). The relation (2·40) itself seems to be singular in the limit $\alpha+\beta\to 0$, although $\varPsi^{(0,0)}=\lambda_{\rm m}U_1^\dagger U_1 cJ(0)|0\rangle=\hat{\psi}|_{\lambda_{\rm s}=0}$ is a BRST invariant and nilpotent solution. We note the identity

$$\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}e^{\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha)K_1}(\alpha+\beta)^{\frac{D}{2}}=e^{\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha)K_1}(\alpha+\beta)^{\frac{D}{2}}\mathcal{B}_0\,, \tag{2·41}$$

which is consistent with (2·35).

Let us consider the overlapping of $\tilde{\varphi}(0)c_1c_0|0\rangle$ and the solution $\varPsi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, where φ is a matter primary field of dimension h_φ. Then, using

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|c_{-1}c_0\left[-\frac{1}{\pi}\hat{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{c}(\tilde{x}_+)\tilde{c}(\tilde{x}_-)+\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{c}(\tilde{x}_+)+\tilde{c}(\tilde{x}_-))\right]|0\rangle\\&=\frac{1}{2}\cos^2\tilde{x}_+\left[1+\frac{1}{\pi}(2\tilde{x}_-+\sin(2\tilde{x}_-))\right]+\frac{1}{2}\cos^2\tilde{x}_-\left[1-\frac{1}{\pi}(2\tilde{x}_++\sin(2\tilde{x}_+))\right]\end{aligned} \tag{2·42}$$

in the ghost sector with the normalization $\langle 0|c_{-1}c_0c_1|0\rangle=1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle 0|c_{-1}c_0\, I\circ\tilde{\varphi}(0)|\psi_{{\rm m},k+1}\rangle\\&=\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^k\int_0^{\alpha+\beta}dr_1\cdots\int_0^{\alpha+\beta}dr_k\left(\frac{2}{\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l}\right)^{k-1+h_\varphi}\\&\times\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}\cos^2\frac{\pi(\beta-\alpha+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l)}{2(\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l)}\left(\frac{2\beta+1}{\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l}-\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\frac{\pi(2\beta+1)}{\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l}\right)\\&+\frac{1}{2}\cos^2\frac{\pi(\beta-\alpha-\sum_{l=1}^k r_l)}{2(\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l)}\left(\frac{2\alpha+1}{\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l}-\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l}\right)\Bigg]\\&\times\left\langle I\circ\tilde{\varphi}(0)\prod_{m=0}^k\lambda_{a_m}\tilde{J}^{a_m}\left(\frac{\pi(\beta-\alpha-\sum_{l=1}^m r_l+\sum_{l=m+1}^k r_l)}{2(\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l)}\right)\right\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{2·43}$$

Here I is the inversion map, which becomes $I(\tilde{z})=\tilde{z}\pm\pi/2$ on the sliver frame C_π, and the last factor is the matter correlator, which is evaluated as

$$\left\langle I\circ\tilde{\varphi}(0)\prod_{m=0}^k\tilde{J}(\tilde{x}_m)\right\rangle=\left\langle I\circ\varphi(0)\prod_{m=0}^k(\cos\tilde{x}_m)^{-2}J(\tan\tilde{x}_m)\right\rangle_{\rm UHP}, \tag{2·44}$$

$$\tilde{x}_m\equiv\frac{\pi(\beta-\alpha-\sum_{l=1}^m r_l+\sum_{l=m+1}^k r_l)}{2(\alpha+\beta+1+\sum_{l=1}^k r_l)}\,. \tag{2·45}$$

In the following, we perform explicit calculations for some coefficients of the solutions, which have (α, β) or gauge dependence.

[Rolling tachyon] Firstly, we consider the case $J =: e^{X^0}:$, which is dimension one primary and non-singular because of the OPE:

$$:e^{nX^0(x)}::e^{mX^0(y)}: \simeq |x-y|^{2nm} :e^{(n+m)X^0(y)}: \ . \tag{2·46}$$

Then, the matter correlator (2·44) for $\varphi =: e^{-(k+1)X^0}:$ is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle I\circ :e^{-(k+1)\tilde{X}^0(0)}::e^{\tilde{X}^0(\tilde{x}_0)}::e^{\tilde{X}^0(\tilde{x}_1)}:\cdots:e^{\tilde{X}^0(\tilde{x}_k)}:\rangle/V_{26} \\ &= \prod_{m=0}^{k}(\cos\tilde{x}_m)^{-2}\prod_{0\le i<j\le k}|\tan\tilde{x}_i-\tan\tilde{x}_j|^2 = \prod_{m=0}^{k}(\cos\tilde{x}_m)^{-2(k+1)}\prod_{0\le i<j\le k}\sin^2(\tilde{x}_i-\tilde{x}_j). \end{aligned} \tag{2·47}$$

Using this formula and $h_\phi = (k+1)^2$ for $\varphi =: e^{-(k+1)X^0}:$, we compute (2·43) for certain values of k as follows. In the case $k=0$, we have

$$\langle 0|c_{-1}c_0 I\circ :e^{-\tilde{X}^0(0)}: |\psi_{\mathrm{m},1}\rangle/V_{26} = 1 \tag{2·48}$$

for all α, β. For $k=1$ and $\alpha=\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|c_{-1}c_0 I\circ :e^{-2\tilde{X}^0(0)}: |\psi_{\mathrm{m},2}\rangle/V_{26} \\ &= \frac{-\pi}{2}\int_0^{2\alpha}dx\left(\frac{2}{2\alpha+1+x}\right)^4 \frac{\sin^2\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+1+x}}{\sin^6\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{2(2\alpha+1+x)}}\left(\frac{2\alpha+1}{2\alpha+1+x}-\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+1+x}\right) \\ &= -\frac{64\cot^3\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{2(4\alpha+1)}}{3(4\alpha+1)^3}, \end{aligned} \tag{2·49}$$

which is equal to $-\frac{64}{243\sqrt{3}}$ for $\alpha = 1/2$,2),3) as expected. For $k=2, \alpha=\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 0|c_{-1}c_0 I\circ :e^{-3\tilde{X}^0(0)}: |\psi_{\mathrm{m},3}\rangle/V_{26} = \\ &\frac{\pi^2}{4}\int_0^{2\alpha}dx\int_0^{2\alpha}dy\left(\frac{2}{(2\alpha+1+x+y)\sin\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{2(2\alpha+1+x+y)}}\right)^{10}\left(\sin\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1+2x)}{2(2\alpha+1+x+y)}\right)^{-6} \\ &\qquad\times\left(\frac{2\alpha+1}{2\alpha+1+x+y}-\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+1+x+y}\right) \\ &\qquad\times\left(\sin\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{2\alpha+1+x+y}\sin\frac{\pi x}{2\alpha+1+x+y}\sin\frac{\pi y}{2\alpha+1+x+y}\right)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2·50}$$

which is numerically evaluated as 0.00214766 in the case $\alpha = 1/2$.[2),3)] For $k \geq 1$, (2·43) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|c_{-1}c_0\, I\circ{:}e^{-(k+1)X^0(0)}{:}\, |\psi_{\mathrm{m},k+1}\rangle/V_{26}\\
&=\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^k(\alpha+\beta)^{-k^2-2k}\int_0^1 dx_1\cdots\int_0^1 dx_k\left(\frac{2}{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}+\sum_{l=1}^k x_l}\right)^{k^2+3k}\\
&\times\Bigg[\frac{1}{2}\sin^2\frac{\pi(\frac{2\beta+1}{\alpha+\beta}+2\sum_{l=1}^k x_l)}{2(1+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}+\sum_{l=1}^k x_l)}\left(\frac{\frac{2\beta+1}{\alpha+\beta}}{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}+\sum_{l=1}^k x_l}-\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\frac{\pi\frac{2\beta+1}{\alpha+\beta}}{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}+\sum_{l=1}^k x_l}\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sin^2\frac{\pi(\frac{2\alpha+1}{\alpha+\beta}+2\sum_{l=1}^k x_l)}{2(1+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}+\sum_{l=1}^k x_l)}\left(\frac{\frac{2\alpha+1}{\alpha+\beta}}{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}+\sum_{l=1}^k x_l}-\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\frac{\pi\frac{2\alpha+1}{\alpha+\beta}}{1+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}+\sum_{l=1}^k x_l}\right)\Bigg]\\
&\times\left[\prod_{m=0}^k\sin^{-2(k+1)}\frac{\pi(\frac{2\alpha+1}{\alpha+\beta}+2\sum_{l=1}^m x_l)}{2(1+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}+\sum_{l=1}^k x_l)}\right]\prod_{0\leq i<j\leq k}\sin^2\frac{\pi\sum_{l=i+1}^j x_l}{2(1+\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}+\sum_{l=1}^k x_l)},
\end{aligned}\tag{2·51}$$

which behaves as $(\alpha+\beta)^{-k^2-2k}$, up to constant factor, in the case $\alpha+\beta\to\infty$.

[Light-cone deformation] In the case $J = i\partial X^+$ and $\varphi = -\frac{1}{2}\partial X^-\partial X^-$, with the OPE $\partial X^-(y)\partial X^+(z)\sim(y-z)^{-2}$, the matter contribution (2·44) for $k=1$ is

$$\langle I\circ\tilde{\varphi}(0)i\partial X^+(\tilde{x}_0)i\partial X^+(\tilde{x}_1)\rangle/V_{26} = (\cos\tilde{x}_0\cos\tilde{x}_1)^{-2}. \tag{2·52}$$

Therefore, (2·43) is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|c_{-1}c_0 I\circ\tilde{\varphi}(0)|\psi_{\mathrm{m},2}\rangle/V_{26}\\
&=\frac{-\pi}{2}\int_0^{\alpha+\beta}dx\left(\frac{2}{\alpha+\beta+1+x}\right)^2\\
&\quad\times\left[\frac{1}{2\sin^2\frac{\pi(2\beta+1)}{2(\alpha+\beta+1+x)}}\left(\frac{2\beta+1}{\alpha+\beta+1+x}-\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\frac{\pi(2\beta+1)}{\alpha+\beta+1+x}\right)\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\frac{1}{2\sin^2\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{2(\alpha+\beta+1+x)}}\left(\frac{2\alpha+1}{\alpha+\beta+1+x}-\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{\alpha+\beta+1+x}\right)\right]\\
&=-\frac{4\cot\frac{\pi(2\alpha+1)}{2(4\alpha+1)}}{4\alpha+1}\qquad(\text{for }\alpha=\beta)\,,
\end{aligned}\tag{2·53}$$

and this gives $-4/(3\sqrt{3})$ for $\alpha=\beta=1/2$, as in Ref. 3).

2.2. *Tachyon solution*

Next, we consider the case $\lambda_{\mathrm{m}} = 0$ in (2·11). In this case, the solution is expanded as

$$\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}^{k+1}P_\alpha*\hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{s}}*(A^{(\alpha+\beta)}*\hat{\psi}_{\mathrm{s}})^k*P_\beta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}^n\psi_{\mathrm{s},n}\,. \tag{2·54}$$

Then, noting (2·17) and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Lambda}_0 * \hat{\Lambda}_0 &= -(B_1^R \hat{\Lambda}_0) * U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1|0\rangle = (B_1^L B_1 U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1|0\rangle) * U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1|0\rangle \\ &= B_1^L|I\rangle * U_1^\dagger U_1 c_1|0\rangle = \hat{\Lambda}_0 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2·55}$$

$$\begin{aligned}A^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \hat{\psi}_{\rm s} &= \int_0^{\alpha+\beta} d\gamma \, \frac{\pi}{2} B_1^L P_\gamma * \hat{\psi}_{\rm s} = \int_0^{\alpha+\beta} d\gamma \, \frac{\pi}{2} (-B_1^R P_\gamma) * Q_{\rm B} \hat{\Lambda}_0 \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha+\beta} d\gamma \, \frac{\pi}{2} P_\gamma * B_1^L Q_{\rm B} \hat{\Lambda}_0 = \int_0^{\alpha+\beta} d\gamma \, \frac{\pi}{2} P_\gamma * K_1^L \hat{\Lambda}_0 \\ &= -\int_0^{\alpha+\beta} d\gamma \, \frac{\pi}{2} K_1^R P_\gamma * \hat{\Lambda}_0 = \hat{\Lambda}_0 - P_\beta * P_\alpha * \hat{\Lambda}_0 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2·56}$$

the $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_{\rm s}^n)$ term of $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{{\rm s},n} &= P_\alpha * (Q_{\rm B} \hat{\Lambda}_0) * P_\beta * (P_\alpha * \hat{\Lambda}_0 * P_\beta - I)^{n-1} \\ &= -\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1-l}(n-1)!}{l!(n-1-l)!} \partial_t \psi_{t,l}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|_{t=0} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2·57}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{t,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)} = &\frac{2}{\pi} U^\dagger_{n(\alpha+\beta)+t+\alpha+\beta+1} U_{n(\alpha+\beta)+t+\alpha+\beta+1} \Bigg[\\ &-\frac{1}{\pi} \hat{\mathcal{B}} \tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha+t+n(\alpha+\beta))\right) \tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha-t-n(\alpha+\beta))\right) \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\left\{ \tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha+t+n(\alpha+\beta))\right) + \tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha-t-n(\alpha+\beta))\right) \right\} \Bigg] |0\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{2·58}$$

where use has been made of the relations

$$(P_\alpha * \hat{\Lambda}_0 * P_\beta)^n = U^\dagger_{n(\alpha+\beta)+1} U_{n(\alpha+\beta)+1} B_1^L \tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(2\beta - n(\alpha+\beta))\right)|0\rangle, \tag{2·59}$$

$$(n = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$P_\alpha * Q_{\rm B} \hat{\Lambda}_0 * P_\beta * (P_\alpha * \hat{\Lambda}_0 * P_\beta)^n = -\partial_t \psi_{t,n}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|_{t=0} \,, \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{2·60}$$

Therefore, (2·54) can be re-summed as

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)} &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{\rm s}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-1-l}(n-1)!}{l!(n-1-l)!} \partial_t \psi_{t,l}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|_{t=0} \\ &= -\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=l+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{\rm s}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-1-l}(n-1)!}{l!(n-1-l)!} \partial_t \psi_{t,l}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|_{t=0} = -\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \lambda_S^{l+1} \partial_t \psi_{t,l}^{(\alpha,\beta)}|_{t=0} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{2·61}$$

where the expansion parameter $\lambda_{\rm s}$ is redefined as[‡]

$$\lambda_S \equiv \frac{\lambda_{\rm s}}{\lambda_{\rm s} + 1} \,. \tag{2·62}$$

[‡] This fact is mentioned in Ref. 6).

The last expression of the solution[‡‡] can be formally summed as

$$\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)} = Q_{\rm B}(\lambda_S P_\alpha * \hat{\Lambda}_0 * P_\beta) * \frac{1}{1-\lambda_S P_\alpha * \hat{\Lambda}_0 * P_\beta}\,, \tag{2·63}$$

which is the pure gauge form found in Ref. 13). Then, using

$$\psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{t,n} = P_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} * \psi_{t+n}|_{t=0} * P_{\beta-\frac{1}{2}} = (\alpha+\beta)e^{\frac{\pi}{4}(\beta-\alpha)K_1}(\alpha+\beta)^{\frac{D}{2}}\psi_{\frac{t}{\alpha+\beta}+n}\,, \tag{2·64}$$

where ψ_n is given in Ref. 1) as

$$\psi_n = \frac{2}{\pi^2}U^\dagger_{n+2}U_{n+2}\left[\hat{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{c}\left(-\frac{\pi n}{4}\right)\tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi n}{4}\right)+\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\tilde{c}\left(-\frac{\pi n}{4}\right)+\tilde{c}\left(\frac{\pi n}{4}\right)\right)\right]|0\rangle, \tag{2·65}$$

we obtain (2·40). Because $\Psi^{(1/2,1/2)}$ coincides with the solution constructed in Ref. 1) in this case [i.e., $\lambda_{\rm m}=0$ for $\hat{\psi}$ (2·11)], $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ satisfies the generalized Schnabl gauge condition $\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}=0$ and should reproduce the D25-brane tension for $\lambda_S=1$ ($\leftrightarrow$ $\lambda_{\rm s}=\infty$),

$$S[\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}]/V_{26} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g^2} & (\lambda_S=1) \\ 0 & (|\lambda_S|<1) \end{cases}\,, \tag{2·66}$$

$$S[\Psi] = -\frac{1}{g^2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle\Psi, Q_{\rm B}\Psi\rangle+\frac{1}{3}\langle\Psi,\Psi*\Psi\rangle\right)\,, \tag{2·67}$$

as evaluated in Refs. 1), 13) and 16), if we regularize it as

$$\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}|_{\lambda_S=1} = \lim_{N\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta}\psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{t=0,N}-\sum_{n=0}^{N}\partial_t\psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{t,n}|_{t=0}\right). \tag{2·68}$$

The first term can be added because $\psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{t=0,N}\sim\mathcal{O}(((\alpha+\beta)N)^{-3})$ for $N\to\infty$ in the sense of the L_0-level truncation. With the above regularization, we find that the new BRST operator $Q'_{\rm B}$ around $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}|_{\lambda_S=1}$ satisfies

$$Q'_{\rm B}A^{(\alpha+\beta)} \equiv Q_{\rm B}A^{(\alpha+\beta)}+\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}|_{\lambda_S=1}*A^{(\alpha+\beta)}+A^{(\alpha+\beta)}*\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}|_{\lambda_S=1}=I\,, \tag{2·69}$$

which implies a vanishing cohomology for $Q'_{\rm B}$, at least formally in the sense of Ref. 5).

For each contribution to $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ of a given power of λ_S, we have the relation

$$\partial_t\psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{t,n}|_{t=0}-\partial_t\psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{t,n=0}|_{t=0} = \frac{\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}}{\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,\beta)}}\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\partial_t\psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{t,m}|_{t=0}*\partial_t\psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{t,n-1-m}|_{t=0}\,. \quad (n\geq 1) \tag{2·70}$$

[‡‡] Such a solution for tachyon condensation is also examined in Refs. 9), 14) and 15).

Then, from (2·57), this implies

$$\psi_{\mathrm{s},k+1} = -\frac{\mathcal{B}^{(\alpha,\beta)}}{\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,\beta)}} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \psi_{\mathrm{s},l} * \psi_{\mathrm{s},k-l+1} \qquad (k \geq 1) \tag{2·71}$$

for each contribution to $\varPsi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ of a given power of λ_{s}, which has the same form as (2·24). The extra BRST exact term, $-\partial_t \psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{t,n=0}|_{t=0} = \psi_{\mathrm{s},1} = Q_{\mathrm{B}}(P_\alpha * \hat{\varLambda}_0 * P_\beta)$, in (2·70) induces the reparametrization of the parameter (2·62) when one solves the equation of motion (1·1) perturbatively. This is a simple example of the ambiguities discussed in Ref. 17).

§3. Solutions in superstring field theory

In the case of superstrings, we wish to solve the equation of motion (1·2) in the large Hilbert space in the RNS formalism. In particular, we use the (ϕ, ξ, η)-system instead of (β, γ) for the superghost sector.[18] As in the bosonic case, we use a Q_{B}-invariant and η_0-invariant commutative monoid $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \geq 0}$, which has ghost number zero and picture number zero,

$$Q_{\mathrm{B}} P_\alpha = 0\,, \quad \eta_0 P_\alpha = 0\,, \quad P_\alpha * P_\beta = P_{\alpha+\beta}\,, \quad P_{\alpha=0} = I, \tag{3·1}$$

and a counterpart to $A^{(\gamma)}$ given by

$$\eta_0 Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{A}^{(\gamma)} = I - P_\gamma\,. \tag{3·2}$$

Using these P_α and $\hat{A}^{(\gamma)}$ and a string field $\hat{\phi}$ with ghost number zero and picture number zero satisfying

$$\eta_0 Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\phi} = 0\,, \quad \hat{\phi} * \hat{\phi} = 0\,, \quad \hat{\phi} * \eta_0 \hat{\phi} = 0\,, \quad \hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\phi} = 0\,, \tag{3·3}$$

we can construct four types of solutions to the equation of motion:

$$\varPhi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(1)} = \log(1 + P_\alpha * f_{(1)} * P_\beta), \qquad f_{(1)} = \frac{1}{1 - \eta_0 \hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\phi}, \tag{3·4}$$

$$\varPhi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(2)} = \log(1 + P_\alpha * f_{(2)} * P_\beta), \qquad f_{(2)} = \hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1 - \eta_0 \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\phi}}, \tag{3·5}$$

$$\varPhi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(3)} = -\log(1 - P_\alpha * f_{(3)} * P_\beta), \qquad f_{(3)} = \frac{1}{1 - Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\phi} * \eta_0 \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\phi}, \tag{3·6}$$

$$\varPhi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(4)} = -\log(1 - P_\alpha * f_{(4)} * P_\beta), \qquad f_{(4)} = \hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1 - Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \eta_0 \hat{\phi}}\,. \tag{3·7}$$

In fact, from the derivation property of Q_{B} and η_0, we obtain

$$e^{-\varPhi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(1)}} Q_{\mathrm{B}} e^{\varPhi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(1)}} = P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1 - \eta_0(\hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)})} * Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\phi} * P_\beta, \tag{3·8}$$

$$e^{-\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(2)}} Q_{\mathrm{B}} e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(2)}} = P_\alpha * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1-\eta_0(\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi})} * P_\beta, \tag{3·9}$$

$$e^{-\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(3)}} Q_{\mathrm{B}} e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(3)}} = P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1+\eta_0(Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)})} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * P_\beta, \tag{3·10}$$

$$e^{-\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(4)}} Q_{\mathrm{B}} e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(4)}} = P_\alpha * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1+\eta_0(Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \hat{\phi})} * P_\beta\,. \tag{3·11}$$

These relations imply that the quantities $\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}$ satisfy the following equation of motion:

$$\eta_0\left(e^{-\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}} Q_{\mathrm{B}} e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}}\right) = 0\,. \qquad (i=1,2,3,4) \tag{3·12}$$

Noting that the gauge transformation is given by $e^{\Phi} \mapsto U * e^{\Phi} * V$ with $Q_{\mathrm{B}}U = 0$ and $\eta_0 V = 0$, the solutions given in (3·5)-(3·6) and (3·4)-(3·7) are gauge equivalent because they are related as

$$e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(2)}} = U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{23} * e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(3)}}\,, \qquad e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(1)}} = e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(4)}} * V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{41}\,, \tag{3·13}$$

where the gauge parameters are explicitly obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{23} &\equiv e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(2)}} e^{-\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(3)}} \\
&= I + P_\alpha * \Bigg(\hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1-\eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi}} - \frac{1}{1-Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * \eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\phi} \\
&\qquad -\hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1-\eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi}} * P_{\alpha+\beta} * \frac{1}{1-Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * \eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\phi}\Bigg) * P_\beta \\
&= I - Q_{\mathrm{B}}\left(P_\alpha * \hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1-\eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi}} * \eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \hat{\phi} * P_\beta\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3·14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{41} &\equiv e^{-\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(4)}} e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(1)}} \\
&= I + P_\alpha * \Bigg(-\hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1-Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \eta_0\hat{\phi}} + \frac{1}{1-\eta_0\hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\phi} \\
&\qquad -\hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1-Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \eta_0\hat{\phi}} * P_{\alpha+\beta} * \frac{1}{1-\eta_0\hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi}} * \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \hat{\phi}\Bigg) * P_\beta \\
&= I + \eta_0\left(P_\alpha * \hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1-Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \eta_0\hat{\phi}} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \hat{\phi} * P_\beta\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3·15}$$

Note that $\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}$ $(i=1,2,3,4)$ all reduce to $\hat{\phi}$ for $\alpha=\beta=0$, and $\hat{\phi}$ given in (3·3) is also a solution to the equation of motion (1·2).

As an example of P_α, we consider the family of wedge states (2·6) by replacing the total Virasoro generators with those of superstrings. Corresponding to this, we can construct $\hat{A}^{(\gamma)}$ (3·2) as

$$\hat{A}^{(\gamma)} = \int_0^\gamma d\alpha \log\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right)\left(\frac{\pi}{2}J_1^{--L} + \alpha\frac{\pi^2}{4}\tilde{G}_1^{-L}B_1^L\right)P_\alpha\,, \tag{3·16}$$

which satisfies

$$\eta_0 \hat{A}^{(\gamma)} = -\frac{\pi}{2}\int_0^\gamma d\alpha B_1^L P_\alpha\,, \quad Q_{\rm B}\hat{A}^{(\gamma)} = -\frac{\pi}{2}\int_0^\gamma d\alpha \tilde{G}_1^{-L} P_\alpha\,, \tag{3·17}$$

and $\eta_0 Q_{\rm B}\hat{A}^{(\gamma)} = I - P_\gamma$ (3·2). Here, J_1^{--L} and $\tilde{G}_1^{-L}$ are defined in the same way as B_1^L, from $J^{--}(z) \equiv \xi b(z)$ and $\tilde{G}^-(z) \equiv [Q_{\rm B}, J^{--}(z)]$. They are primary fields of dimension 2 and are generators of the twisted $N = 4$ superconformal algebra.[19)] In terms of the mode expansion, as in (2·8), we have

$$\mathcal{J}_0^{--} = \oint \frac{dw}{2\pi i}(1+w^2)(\arctan w)J^{--}(w) = J_0^{--} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{2(-1)^{k+1}}{4k^2-1}J_{2k}^{--}\,, \tag{3·18}$$

$$\mathrm{bpz}(\mathcal{J}_0^{--}) = J_0^{--} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{2(-1)^{k+1}}{4k^2-1}J_{-2k}^{--}\,, \quad \hat{\mathcal{J}}^{--} \equiv \mathcal{J}_0^{--} + \mathrm{bpz}(\mathcal{J}_0^{--})\,, \tag{3·19}$$

$$J_1^{--L} = \int_{C_L}\frac{dz}{2\pi i}(1+z^2)J^{--} = \frac{1}{2}(J_1^{--} + J_{-1}^{--}) + \frac{1}{\pi}\hat{\mathcal{J}}^{--}\,, \tag{3·20}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0^- = [Q_{\rm B}, \mathcal{J}_0^{--}] = \tilde{G}_0^- + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{2(-1)^{k+1}}{4k^2-1}\tilde{G}_{2k}^-\,, \tag{3·21}$$

$$\mathrm{bpz}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0^-) = \tilde{G}_0^- + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{2(-1)^{k+1}}{4k^2-1}\tilde{G}_{-2k}^-\,, \quad \hat{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}^- \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0^- + \mathrm{bpz}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0^-)\,, \tag{3·22}$$

$$\tilde{G}_1^{-L} = [Q_{\rm B}, J_1^{--L}] = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{G}_1^- + \tilde{G}_{-1}^-) + \frac{1}{\pi}\hat{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}}^-\,, \tag{3·23}$$

and they satisfy the following (anti-)commutation relations obtained from their OPEs:

$$[\eta_0, J_1^{--L}] = B_1^L, \quad \{\eta_0, \tilde{G}_1^{-L}\} = -K_1^L\,, \quad \{Q_{\rm B}, \tilde{G}_1^{-L}\} = 0\,, \tag{3·24}$$

$$[J_1^{--L}, \tilde{G}_1^{-L}] = 0\,, \quad [K_1^L, \tilde{G}_1^{-L}] = 0\,, \quad [K_1^L, J_1^{--L}] = 0\,, \quad \{B_1^L, \tilde{G}_1^{-L}\} = 0\,. \tag{3·25}$$

We can derive a solution to (3·3) of the form

$$\hat{\phi} = \zeta_a U_1^\dagger U_1 c\xi e^{-\phi}\psi^a(0)|0\rangle\,, \tag{3·26}$$

for example. Here, ψ^a represents the fermionic component of dimension 1/2 of a supercurrent $\mathbf{J}^a(z,\theta) = \psi^a(z) + \theta J^a(z)$ in the matter sector, and we assume that the OPEs are given by

$$\psi^a(y)\psi^b(z) \sim (y-z)^{-1}\Omega^{ab}\,, \tag{3·27}$$

$$J^a(y)\psi^b(z) \sim (y-z)^{-1} i f^{ab}{}_c \psi^c(z)\,, \tag{3·28}$$

$$J^a(y)J^b(z) \sim (y-z)^{-2}\Omega^{ab} + (y-z)^{-1} i f^{ab}{}_c J^c(z)\,, \tag{3·29}$$

where $f^{ab}{}_c$ is the structure constant of the associated Lie algebra. The quantities ζ_a are Grassmann even constants and satisfy

$$\zeta_a \zeta_b \Omega^{ab} = 0\,, \tag{3·30}$$

which implies that $\zeta_a \mathbf{J}^a(z,\theta)$ is non-singular. More concretely, we can take $\mathbf{J}^\mu(z,\theta) = \psi^\mu(z) + \theta i\partial X^\mu(z)$ on a flat background, where ζ_μ is directed in a light-cone direction, so that $\zeta_\mu\zeta_\nu\eta^{\mu\nu} = 0$ holds.

The string field $\hat{A}^{(\gamma)}$ given in (3·16) appears naturally when we attempt to solve the equation of motion perturbatively. More precisely, by substituting $\varPhi = \sum_{n\geq 1}\lambda^n\varPhi_n$ into the equation of motion $\eta_0(e^{-\varPhi}Q_{\rm B}e^{\varPhi}) = 0$, the first equation for $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^1)$ is $\eta_0 Q_{\rm B}\varPhi_1 = 0$, and the second one for $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ is $\eta_0 Q_{\rm B}\varPhi_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_0\varPhi_1 {*} Q_{\rm B}\varPhi_1 + Q_{\rm B}\varPhi_1 {*} \eta_0\varPhi_1)$. In the case $\varPhi_1 = P_{1/2}{*}\hat{\phi}{*}P_{1/2}$ with (3·26), the second-order term is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\varPhi_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0^-}{\mathcal{L}_0}\frac{\mathcal{B}_0}{\mathcal{L}_0}(\eta_0\varPhi_1 * Q_{\rm B}\varPhi_1 + Q_{\rm B}\varPhi_1 * \eta_0\varPhi_1)\\ &= -\frac{1}{2}P_{1/2} * (\eta_0\hat{\phi} * \hat{A}^{(1)} * Q_{\rm B}\hat{\phi} + Q_{\rm B}\hat{\phi} * \hat{A}^{(1)} * \eta_0\hat{\phi}) * P_{1/2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3·31}$$

In the first line, we have used the relation $\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0^-}{\mathcal{L}_0}\frac{\mathcal{B}_0}{\mathcal{L}_0} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_0^-\int_0^\infty dT_1 e^{-T_1\mathcal{L}_0}\mathcal{B}_0\int_0^\infty dT_2 e^{-T_2\mathcal{L}_0}$, which is analogous to $\frac{\tilde{G}_0^-}{L_0}\frac{b_0}{L_0}$ in Ref. 12), and explicitly carried out the computation in the sliver frame. The result is identical to the last expression in (3·31). The above second-order term, $\varPhi_2$, is equivalent to the $\mathcal{O}(\hat{\phi}^2)$ terms of (3·4)–(3·7) with $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$, up to η_0-exact or $Q_{\rm B}$-exact terms.

We next comment on the reality condition for the string field $\varPhi$. In order to ensure the Berkovits' WZW-type superstring field action in the NS sector,

$$S_{\rm NS}[\varPhi] = -\frac{1}{g^2}\int_0^1 dt\langle\langle(\eta_0\varPhi)(e^{-t\varPhi}Q_{\rm B}e^{t\varPhi})\rangle\rangle, \tag{3·32}$$

to be real, we should impose the reality condition on the string field $\varPhi$. It is given by ${\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\varPhi) = -\varPhi$. Note that even if ${\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\hat{\phi}) = -\hat{\phi}$, the relation ${\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\varPhi_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}) = -\varPhi_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ for (3·4)–(3·7) is not satisfied in general. However, we can construct two solutions, $\varPhi_{(23)}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}$ and $\varPhi_{(41)}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}$, which satisfy the reality condition:

$$e^{\varPhi_{(23)}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}} \equiv \sqrt{U_{23}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}} * e^{\varPhi_{(3)}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}}\,,\qquad e^{\varPhi_{(41)}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}} \equiv e^{\varPhi_{(4)}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}} * \sqrt{V_{41}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}}\,, \tag{3·33}$$

with the gauge parameters (3·14) and (3·15). (See also Ref. 6),v2.) The square root is defined by an infinite series with respect to the star product. Here we employ the conventions

$$\begin{aligned}&{\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\hat{\phi}) = -\hat{\phi}\,,\quad {\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\varPhi_1 * \varPhi_2) = {\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\varPhi_2) * {\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\varPhi_1)\,,\\ &{\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\eta_0\varPhi) = (-1)^{|\varPhi|}\eta_0{\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\varPhi),\\ &{\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(Q_{\rm B}\varPhi) = -(-1)^{|\varPhi|}Q_{\rm B}{\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\varPhi),\\ &{\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(\eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}) = \eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}\,,\quad {\rm bpz}^{-1}\circ{\rm hc}(Q_{\rm B}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}) = -Q_{\rm B}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{3·34}$$

and note the relations $\mathrm{bpz}^{-1}\circ \mathrm{hc}(f_{(2)}) = -f_{(3)}$ and $\mathrm{bpz}^{-1}\circ \mathrm{hc}(f_{(1)}) = -f_{(4)}$.

Alternatively, using the method in Ref. 8), we have a solution $\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda$ of the path-ordered form:

$$e^{\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda} = \mathrm{P}\exp\int_0^\lambda d\lambda' G(\lambda') = I + \int_0^\lambda d\lambda' G(\lambda') + \int_0^\lambda d\lambda_1 \int_0^{\lambda_1} d\lambda_2 G(\lambda_2) * G(\lambda_1) + \cdots\,, \tag{3·35}$$

$$G(\lambda) = P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1-\lambda Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * \eta_0 \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1-\lambda\eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi}} * P_\beta\,. \tag{3·36}$$

In fact, $G(\lambda)$ is a solution of the relation

$$Q_{\mathrm{B}} G(\lambda) + [\mathit{\Psi}_\lambda, G(\lambda)] = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\mathit{\Psi}_\lambda, \tag{3·37}$$

with

$$\mathit{\Psi}_\lambda \equiv P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1-\lambda Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * \eta_0 \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \lambda Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * P_\beta, \tag{3·38}$$

where the above $\mathit{\Psi}_\lambda$ satisfies

$$Q_{\mathrm{B}}\mathit{\Psi}_\lambda + \mathit{\Psi}_\lambda * \mathit{\Psi}_\lambda = 0\,, \quad \eta_0\mathit{\Psi}_\lambda = 0\,. \tag{3·39}$$

In addition, the above $e^{\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda}$ (3·35) satisfies

$$Q_{\mathrm{B}} G(\lambda) + [(e^{-\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda} Q_{\mathrm{B}} e^{\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda}), G(\lambda)] = \frac{d}{d\lambda}(e^{-\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda} Q_{\mathrm{B}} e^{\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda}), \quad (e^{-\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda} Q_{\mathrm{B}} e^{\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda})|_{\lambda=0} = 0. \tag{3·40}$$

Comparing them to (3·37), we conclude the relation $\mathit{\Psi}_\lambda = e^{-\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda} Q_{\mathrm{B}} e^{\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda}$. Therefore, the second equation in (3·39) implies that $\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda$ is a solution to the equation of motion (1·2). Because we have $\mathrm{bpz}^{-1}\circ \mathrm{hc}(G(\lambda)) = -G(\lambda)$ for real λ and $\alpha = \beta$, this $\mathit{\Phi}_\lambda$ with $\alpha=\beta$ satisfies the reality condition, as in Ref. 8).

We can similarly obtain another solution $\tilde{\mathit{\Phi}}_\lambda$ of path-ordered form:

$$e^{-\tilde{\mathit{\Phi}}_\lambda} = \mathrm{P}\exp\int_0^\lambda d\lambda' \tilde{G}(\lambda')\,, \tag{3·41}$$

$$\tilde{G}(\lambda) = -P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1-\lambda\eta_0\hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1-\lambda Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \eta_0\hat{\phi}} * P_\beta\,. \tag{3·42}$$

In this case, $\tilde{G}(\lambda)$ is a solution of

$$\eta_0 \tilde{G}(\lambda) + [\tilde{\mathit{\Psi}}_\lambda, \tilde{G}(\lambda)] = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\mathit{\Psi}}_\lambda, \tag{3·43}$$

$$\tilde{\mathit{\Psi}}_\lambda \equiv -P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1-\lambda\eta_0\hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \lambda\eta_0\hat{\phi} * P_\beta, \tag{3·44}$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}_\lambda$ satisfies

$$\eta_0\tilde{\Psi}_\lambda + \tilde{\Psi}_\lambda * \tilde{\Psi}_\lambda = 0\,, \qquad Q_{\rm B}\tilde{\Psi}_\lambda = 0\,. \tag{3·45}$$

In addition, the above $e^{-\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}$ (3·41) satisfies

$$\eta_0\tilde{G}(\lambda) + [(e^{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}\eta_0 e^{-\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}), \tilde{G}(\lambda)] = \frac{d}{d\lambda}(e^{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}\eta_0 e^{-\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda})\,, \quad (e^{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}\eta_0 e^{-\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda})|_{\lambda=0} = 0\,. \tag{3·46}$$

Comparing them to (3·43), we conclude the relation $\tilde{\Psi}_\lambda = e^{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}\eta_0 e^{-\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}$. Therefore, the second equation in (3·45) implies that $\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda$ is a solution to the equation of motion, owing to the relation

$$\eta_0(e^{-\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}Q_{\rm B}e^{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}) = e^{-\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}(Q_{\rm B}(e^{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}\eta_0 e^{-\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}))e^{\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda} = 0\,. \tag{3·47}$$

Because we have $\mathrm{bpz}^{-1}\circ\mathrm{hc}(\tilde{G}(\lambda)) = -\tilde{G}(\lambda)$ for real λ and $\alpha = \beta$, this $\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda$ with $\alpha = \beta$ satisfies the reality condition.

§4. Gauge transformations and field redefinitions

4.1. *Finite gauge transformations*

Let us consider gauge transformations among the obtained solutions in bosonic and super string field theory. We find that $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ given in (2·4) and $\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}$ given in (3·4)–(3·7) can be rewritten as particular finite gauge transformations from simple solutions $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$, respectively, in bosonic and super string field theory *if we formally use* P_α^{-1} *or, equivalently, we formally extend a commutative monoid* $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geq 0}$ *to an Abelian group with respect to the star product.* In the case of a bosonic string, we have

$$\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)} = V^{-1} * \hat{\psi} * V + V^{-1} * Q_{\rm B}V\,, \tag{4·1}$$

$$V = (I + \hat{\psi} * A^{(\alpha+\beta)}) * P_\alpha^{-1}, \quad V^{-1} = P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1 + \hat{\psi} * A^{(\alpha+\beta)}}\,. \tag{4·2}$$

Similarly, for a superstring, we obtain

$$e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(3)}} = P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1 - Q_{\rm B}(\hat{\phi} * \eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)})} * e^{\hat{\phi}} * (I + \eta_0(Q_{\rm B}\hat{\phi} * \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)})) * P_\alpha^{-1}, \tag{4·3}$$

$$e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(4)}} = P_\beta^{-1} * (I - Q_{\rm B}(\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \eta_0\hat{\phi})) * e^{\hat{\phi}} * \frac{1}{1 + \eta_0(Q_{\rm B}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \hat{\phi})} * P_\beta, \tag{4·4}$$

and $\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ are related by (3·13). More precisely, if $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geq 0}$ can be extended to an Abelian group, all the solutions $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ are gauge equivalent in bosonic string field theory, and all the solutions $\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are gauge equivalent in superstring field theory. In the case that $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geq 0}$ is the family of wedge states, we can formally rewrite P_α as $\exp(-\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha K_1^L I)$ by using $(K_1^L\Phi_1)*\Phi_2 = K_1^L(\Phi_1*\Phi_2)$ and the identity property. Therefore, we can regard $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{R}}$ with $P_\alpha^{-1}=P_{-\alpha}$ as an Abelian group. However, $P_\alpha^{-1}=P_{-\alpha}$ $(\alpha>0)$ might not be valid as a wedge state, due to its "negative angle." In any case, it seems that our solutions $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $\Phi_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ are almost gauge equivalent to $\Psi^{(\alpha',\beta')}$ and $\Phi_{(i)}^{(\alpha',\beta')}$ with $(\alpha,\beta)\neq(\alpha',\beta')$, respectively, in this sense.

Alternatively, we can obtain finite gauge parameter string fields of path-ordered forms in the case that $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geq 0}$ is the family of wedge states, using the expressions given in (2·6), (2·7) and (3·17).

In the case of bosonic string field theory, as in Ref. 20), we find

$$Q_{\rm B}G^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)+[\Psi^{(t\alpha,t\beta)},G^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)]=\frac{d}{dt}\Psi^{(t\alpha,t\beta)},\qquad \Psi^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}|_{t=0}=\hat{\psi}\,, \tag{4·5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}G^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)&\equiv-\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha B_1^L-\beta B_1^R)\Psi^{(t\alpha,t\beta)} \\ &=\frac{-\pi}{2}\biggl(\alpha(B_1^LP_{t\alpha})*\frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(t\alpha+t\beta)}}*\hat{\psi}*P_{t\beta} \\ &\qquad+\beta P_{t\alpha}*\frac{1}{1+\hat{\psi}*A^{(t\alpha+t\beta)}}*\hat{\psi}*B_1^RP_{t\beta}\biggr).\end{aligned} \tag{4·6}$$

This implies the relation

$$\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}=V^{(\alpha,\beta)-1}*\hat{\psi}*V^{(\alpha,\beta)}+V^{(\alpha,\beta)-1}*Q_{\rm B}*V^{(\alpha,\beta)}\,, \tag{4·7}$$

with

$$V^{(\alpha,\beta)}={\rm P}\exp\int_0^1 dtG^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)\,, \tag{4·8}$$

where the path-order P is defined as in (3·35), so that it satisfies $\frac{d}{dt}{\rm P}e^{\int_0^t duG(u)}=({\rm P}e^{\int_0^t duG(u)})G(t)$. Therefore, all the solutions $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ are gauge equivalent to the simplest one, $\hat{\psi}$.

In the case of superstring field theory, rewriting (3·6) as

$$e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}}=I+P_\alpha*\frac{1}{1-Q_{\rm B}(\hat{\phi}*\eta_0\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)})}*\hat{\phi}*P_\beta, \tag{4·9}$$

$\frac{d}{dt}e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}$ is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}&=\beta\frac{\pi}{2}K_1^R\Bigl(e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}-I\Bigr)-\alpha\frac{\pi}{2}K_1^L\Bigl(e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}-I\Bigr) \\ &\quad-\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha+\beta)P_{t\alpha}*\frac{1}{1-Q_{\rm B}(\hat{\phi}*\eta_0\hat{A}^{(t\alpha+t\beta)})}*Q_{\rm B}(\hat{\phi}*B_1^LP_{t(\alpha+\beta)})\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&* \frac{1}{1-Q_{\mathrm{B}}(\hat{\phi} * \eta_0 \hat{A}^{(t\alpha+t\beta)})} * \hat{\phi} * P_{t\beta} \\
&= \beta\frac{\pi}{2}K_1^R\Big(e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}-I\Big) - \alpha\frac{\pi}{2}K_1^L\Big(e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}-I\Big) \\
&\quad +\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\Big(Q_{\mathrm{B}}B_1^R\Big(e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}-I\Big)\Big)*\Big(e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}-I\Big) \\
&= G_1^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) * e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}} + e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}} * G_2^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4·10}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_1^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) &\equiv -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha K_1^L I + \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha+\beta)Q_{\mathrm{B}}B_1^R\Big(e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}-I\Big) \qquad (4·11)\\
&= -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha K_1^L I + \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha+\beta)P_{t\alpha} * \frac{1}{1-Q_{\mathrm{B}}(\hat{\phi} * \eta_0 \hat{A}^{(t\alpha+t\beta)})} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}(\hat{\phi} * B_1^R P_{t\beta})\,, \\
G_2^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) &\equiv \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha K_1^L I + \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha+\beta)B_1^R\Big(e^{-\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}Q_{\mathrm{B}}e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}\Big) \qquad (4·12)\\
&= \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha K_1^L I - \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha+\beta)P_{t\alpha} * \frac{1}{1+\eta_0(Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * \hat{A}^{(t\alpha+t\beta)})} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{\phi} * B_1^R P_{t\beta}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

The above $G_1^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ and $G_2^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ satisfy

$$
Q_{\mathrm{B}}G_1^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = 0\,, \qquad \eta_0 G_2^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = 0\,, \tag{4·13}
$$

which are conditions for gauge parameters in superstring field theory. Therefore, we find a finite gauge transformation using the path-ordered form:

$$
e^{\Phi_{(3)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}} = W_1 * e^{\hat{\phi}} * W_2\,, \qquad Q_{\mathrm{B}}W_1 = 0, \qquad \eta_0 W_2 = 0\,, \tag{4·14}
$$

$$
W_1 \equiv \mathrm{P}'\exp\int_0^1 dt G_1^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)\,, \qquad W_2 \equiv \mathrm{P}\exp\int_0^1 dt G_2^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)\,. \tag{4·15}
$$

Here, P' is the path order opposite to P. Thus, we have $\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{P}'e^{\int_0^t duG(u)} = G(t)(\mathrm{P}'e^{\int_0^t duG(u)})$, or, explicitly,

$$
\mathrm{P}'e^{\int_0^t duG(u)} = I + \int_0^\lambda d\lambda' G(\lambda') + \int_0^\lambda d\lambda_1 \int_0^{\lambda_1} d\lambda_2 G(\lambda_1) * G(\lambda_2) + \cdots\,. \tag{4·16}
$$

Similarly, from the expression of $\Phi_{(1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ given in (3·4), we obtain

$$
e^{-\Phi_{(1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}} = I - P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1-\eta_0(\hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}}\hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)})} * \hat{\phi} * P_\beta\,, \tag{4·17}
$$

and this yields

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\,e^{-\Phi_{(1)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}} = -G_3^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) * e^{-\Phi_{(1)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}} - e^{-\Phi_{(1)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}} * G_4^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)\,, \tag{4·18}
$$

where

$$G_3^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) \equiv \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha K_1^L I + \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\eta_0 \tilde{G}_1^{-R}\Big(e^{-\varPhi_{(1)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}} - I\Big) \tag{4·19}$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha K_1^L I - \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha+\beta)P_{t\alpha} * \frac{1}{1-\eta_0(\hat{\phi} * Q_{\rm B}\hat{A}^{(t\alpha+t\beta)})} * \eta_0(\hat{\phi} * \tilde{G}_1^{-R}P_{t\beta})\,,$$

$$G_4^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha K_1^L I + \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\tilde{G}_1^{-R}\Big(e^{\varPhi_{(1)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}\eta_0 e^{-\varPhi_{(1)}^{(t\alpha,t\beta)}}\Big) \tag{4·20}$$

$$= -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha K_1^L I + \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha+\beta)P_{t\alpha} * \frac{1}{1+Q_{\rm B}(\eta_0\hat{\phi} * \hat{A}^{(t\alpha+t\beta)})} * \eta_0\hat{\phi} * \tilde{G}_1^{-R}P_{t\beta}\,.$$

These quantities $G_1^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ and $G_2^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)$ satisfy the relations

$$\eta_0 G_3^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = 0\,, \qquad Q_{\rm B}G_4^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t) = 0\,. \tag{4·21}$$

Then, we obtain a finite gauge transformation from $\hat{\phi}$:

$$e^{\varPhi_{(1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}} = W_3 * e^{\hat{\phi}} * W_4\,, \qquad Q_{\rm B}W_3 = 0, \qquad \eta_0 W_4 = 0\,, \tag{4·22}$$

$$W_3 \equiv {\rm P}'\exp\int_0^1 dt G_4^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)\,, \qquad W_4 \equiv {\rm P}\exp\int_0^1 dt G_3^{(\alpha,\beta)}(t)\,. \tag{4·23}$$

The solutions $\varPhi_{(2)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in (3·5) and $\varPhi_{(4)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in (3·7) are also gauge equivalent to $\hat{\phi}$, by (4·14), (4·22) and (3·13). Therefore, our solutions $\varPhi_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ appearing in (3·4)–(3·7) are all gauge equivalent to the simplest one, $\hat{\phi}$, in superstring field theory with the gauge parameters in the path-ordered forms (4·15) and (4·23).

Although our solutions $\varPsi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $\varPhi_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ are all gauge equivalent to each other in bosonic and super string field theory, respectively, in the above sense, we note that the gauge parameter string fields might become singular. For example, as observed in Ref. 13), Schnabl's solution for tachyon condensation$^{1)}$ can be regarded as a limit of a pure gauge form. A similar situation is found in Ref. 21). Therefore, we must study the "regularity" of gauge parameter string fields more carefully in order to conclude gauge equivalence.

4.2. *Pure gauge forms and induced field redefinitions*

If $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ can be rewritten in pure gauge forms, i.e.

$$\hat{\psi} = e^{-\varLambda}Q_{\rm B}e^{\varLambda}, \tag{4·24}$$

$$e^{\hat{\phi}} = e^{Q_{\rm B}\varLambda_1}e^{\eta_0\varLambda_2}, \tag{4·25}$$

then $\varPsi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $\varPhi_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ can also be rewritten in pure gauge forms without path-ordered forms as

$$\varPsi^{(\alpha,\beta)} = U^{(\alpha,\beta)-1}Q_{\rm B}U^{(\alpha,\beta)}\,,$$

$$U^{(\alpha,\beta)} = I + P_\alpha * (e^{\varLambda} - I) * \frac{1}{1 + A^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \hat{\psi}} * P_\beta\,, \tag{4·26}$$

in bosonic string field theory, and as

$$e^{\varPhi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}} = U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)} * V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)} \,, \quad Q_{\mathrm{B}} U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)} = 0, \quad \eta_0 V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)} = 0 \,, \tag{4·27}$$

in superstring field theory, where we have the following:

$$V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(3)} = V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(2)} = I + P_\alpha * (e^{\eta_0 \varLambda_2} - I) * \frac{1}{1 - \eta_0 \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\phi}} * P_\beta \,, \tag{4·28}$$

$$U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(3)} = \left[I + P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1 - Q_{\mathrm{B}}(\hat{\phi} * \eta_0 \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)})} * \hat{\phi} * P_\beta \right] * V^{(\alpha,\beta)-1}_{(3)} \,, \tag{4·29}$$

$$U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(4)} = U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(1)} = I + P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1 - \eta_0 \hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * (e^{Q_{\mathrm{B}} \varLambda_1} - I) * P_\beta \,, \tag{4·30}$$

$$V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(4)} = U^{(\alpha,\beta)-1}_{(4)} * \left[I + P_\alpha * \hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1 + \eta_0 (Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * \hat{\phi})} * P_\beta \right] \,, \tag{4·31}$$

$$V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(1)} = V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(4)} * V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{41} \,, \tag{4·32}$$

$$U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(2)} = U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{23} * U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(3)} \,. \tag{4·33}$$

Here, we note that

$$V^{(\alpha,\beta)-1}_{(3)} * Q_{\mathrm{B}} V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(3)} = P_\alpha * Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\phi} * \frac{1}{1 - \eta_0 \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\phi}} * P_\beta \,, \tag{4·34}$$

$$\eta_0 U^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(4)} * U^{(\alpha,\beta)-1}_{(4)} = P_\alpha * \frac{1}{1 - \eta_0 \hat{\phi} * Q_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)}} * \eta_0 \hat{\phi} * P_\beta \,, \tag{4·35}$$

are useful to check the conditions for gauge parameters. In the expressions of (4·26) and (4·27), we do not have to use P_α^{-1}, unlike in the case of (4·1) and (4·3)-(4·4). In this sense, they are well-defined if the gauge parameter string fields, i.e. $\varLambda$ in (4·24) and $\varLambda_1$ and $\varLambda_2$ in (4·25), are well-defined.

Around the solutions $\varPsi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $\varPhi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}$ in the forms of (4·26) and (4·27), the action $S[\varPsi]$ given in (2·67) for a bosonic string field and $S_{\mathrm{NS}}[\varPhi]$ given in (3·32) for a superstring field in the NS sector can be re-expanded as[‡‡‡]

$$S[\varPsi^{(\alpha,\beta)} + \varPsi] = S[\varPsi^{(\alpha,\beta)}] + S[U^{(\alpha,\beta)} * \varPsi * U^{(\alpha,\beta)-1}] \,, \tag{4·36}$$

$$S_{\mathrm{NS}}[\log(e^{\varPhi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}} e^{\varPhi})] = S_{\mathrm{NS}}[\varPhi^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)}] + S_{\mathrm{NS}}[V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)} * \varPhi * V^{(\alpha,\beta)-1}_{(i)}] \,. \tag{4·37}$$

The second terms induce the following field redefinitions:

$$U^{(\alpha,\beta)} * \varPsi * U^{(\alpha,\beta)-1} = \varPsi + (P_\alpha * \varLambda * P_\beta) * \varPsi - \varPsi * (P_\alpha * \varLambda * P_\beta) + \mathcal{O}(\varLambda^2), \tag{4·38}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V^{(\alpha,\beta)}_{(i)} * \varPhi * V^{(\alpha,\beta)-1}_{(i)} &= \varPhi + (P_\alpha * \eta_0 \varLambda_2 * P_\beta) * \varPhi - \varPhi * (P_\alpha * \eta_0 \varLambda_2 * P_\beta) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(\varLambda_1^2, \varLambda_1 \varLambda_2, \varLambda_2^2), \end{aligned} \tag{4·39}$$

[‡‡‡] See also Ref. 22).

respectively.

In particular, for the solutions $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $\Phi_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ generated from

$$\hat{\psi} = \zeta_\mu U_1^\dagger U_1 ci\partial X^\mu(0)|0\rangle, \tag{4·40}$$

$$\hat{\phi} = \zeta_\mu U_1^\dagger U_1 c\xi e^{-\phi}\psi^\mu(0)|0\rangle, \tag{4·41}$$

with light-cone directed ζ_μ satisfying the nonsingular condition $\eta^{\mu\nu}\zeta_\mu\zeta_\nu = 0$ for a (super) current in a flat background, we can choose the gauge parameters in (4·24) and (4·25) as

$$\Lambda = U_1^\dagger U_1 i\zeta_\mu X^\mu(0)|0\rangle \,, \tag{4·42}$$

$$\Lambda_2 = U_1^\dagger U_1 \xi i\zeta_\mu X^\mu(0)|0\rangle \,, \quad \Lambda_1 = U_1^\dagger U_1 c\xi\partial\xi e^{-2\phi} i\zeta_\mu X^\mu(0)|0\rangle \,, \tag{4·43}$$

if we regard X^μ as a dimension 0 primary field. In this case, it is seen that the induced field redefinitions (4·38) and (4·39) involve the zero mode of X^μ, which implies the effect of the background Wilson line, as investigated in Refs. 23), 21) and 24).

We should note that the string fields Λ in (4·42) and Λ_1 and Λ_2 in (4·43) are not well-defined when some directions are compactified, because they include X^μ instead of ∂X^μ. In this case, the gauge parameter string fields $U^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in (4·26) and $U_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $V_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in (4·27) are not well-defined. This implies that the solutions $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $\Phi_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$, which are independent of the zero mode of X^μ, are not globally pure gauge forms.

§5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have examined a class of solutions to the equations of motion: $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ appearing in (2·4) in bosonic string field theory and $\Phi_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ appearing in (3·4)–(3·7) in superstring field theory. The former is a variant of solutions given in Refs. 1), 2), 3), and the latter is a variant of solutions given in Refs. 6) and 7). Both solutions are generated from simpler ones, nemely, those in (2·3) and (3·3), for example, which are constructed from the identity state, by using a commutative monoid $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geq 0}$ and the associated $A^{(\gamma)}$ and $\hat{A}^{(\gamma)}$. We have investigated gauge transformations among our solutions, their (formal) pure gauge forms and induced string field redefinitions. Using the family of wedge states as $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geq 0}$, we have performed some explicit computations.

In §4.1, we found finite gauge transformations that relate our solutions $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ and $\Phi_{(i)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ to the simple solutions $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$, respectively, using path-ordered forms. In this sense, our solutions constructed from nonsingular (super) currents, including those given in Refs. 2) and 3) for bosonic strings and Refs. 6) and 7) for superstrings, are all *formally* gauge equivalent to simple solutions based on the identity state.

As mentioned in Footnote †, $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ appearing in (2·4) represents a solution if $\hat{\psi}$ is a solution to the equation of motion (1·1) in bosonic string field theory. This implies that $\hat{\psi} \mapsto \Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is a map from one solution to another. Let us denote this transformation by $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\hat{\psi})$. We note that the composition of this transformation forms a commutative monoid, because the relations $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\Psi^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\hat{\psi})) = \Psi^{(\alpha+\alpha',\beta+\beta')}(\hat{\psi}) = \Psi^{(\alpha',\beta')}(\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\hat{\psi}))$ and $\Psi^{(0,0)}(\hat{\psi}) = \hat{\psi}$ hold if we use the family of wedge states as $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\geq 0}$ and the associated $A^{(\gamma)}$ given in (2·7). As an application of this transformation $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\cdot)$, we can obtain new solutions from the Takahashi-Tanimoto marginal solution $\Psi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{TT}}$ and scalar solution $\Psi_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{TT}}$ derived in Ref. 21), at least naively. The generated solutions are not based on the identity state if we take $\alpha, \beta > 0$, unlike the original ones. Therefore, we conjecture that we can evaluate the action at $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\Psi_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{TT}})$ directly, in principle. At least formally, $\Psi^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\Psi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{TT}})$ gives a solution for a general marginal deformation $\zeta_a J^a$ with singular OPE, namely, $\zeta_a\zeta_b g^{ab} \neq 0$ with (2·14), because $\Psi_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{TT}}$ is constructed using general currents.[23),21),24)] This might be an alternative approach to constructing the solutions for marginal deformations with general currents given in Refs. 3) and 17).

Similarly, comparing (4·26) with (3·5), we have found a map from one general solution to another by modifying $\Phi_{(2)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ appropriately in superstring field theory. Specifically, if $\hat{\phi}$ satisfies the equation of motion $\eta_0(e^{-\hat{\phi}}Q_{\mathrm{B}}e^{\hat{\phi}}) = 0$, then $\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ given by

$$\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \log(1 + P_\alpha * \hat{f} * P_\beta)\,, \qquad \hat{f} = (e^{\hat{\phi}} - I) * \frac{1}{1 - \eta_0 \hat{A}^{(\alpha+\beta)} * e^{-\hat{\phi}}Q_{\mathrm{B}}e^{\hat{\phi}}} \tag{5·1}$$

also does: i.e., $\eta_0(e^{-\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}}Q_{\mathrm{B}}e^{\Phi^{(\alpha,\beta)}}) = 0$. Using this formula, we should be able to generate new solutions that are not based on the identity state for $\alpha, \beta > 0$, using general supercurrents $\zeta_a \mathbf{J}^a(z,\theta)$ with $\zeta_a\zeta_b\Omega^{ab} \neq 0$ (3·27)–(3·29) from identity-based solutions obtained in Ref. 24). It is an interesting problem to closely examine such generated solutions.[25)]

It is an important problem to define the regularity of string fields more carefully and examine that of our generated solutions and the gauge parameters that relate them, because we have used some formal properties of the star product and formally evaluated infinite summations. In particular, such a problem seems to be very important to studying the cohomology around solutions. (See Refs. 26) and 5), for example.)

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to T. Takahashi for his collaboration at various stages of this work. We would like to thank Y. Okawa and S. Zeze for valuable comments. Discussions during the workshops "Komaba 2007" and "KEK Theory Workshop 2007" were also useful. I. K. would like to thank the members of the National Center for Theoretical Science (North) in Taipei, where this work was completed, for their hospitality. I. K. is supported in part by the Special Postdoctoral Researchers Program at RIKEN and a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (#19740155) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

References

1) M. Schnabl, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10** (2006), 433; hep-th/0511286.
2) M. Schnabl, hep-th/0701248.
3) M. Kiermaier, Y. Okawa, L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, hep-th/0701249.
4) L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, hep-th/0606131.
5) I. Ellwood and M. Schnabl, J. High Energy Phys. **02** (2007), 096; hep-th/0606142.
6) T. Erler, arXiv:0704.0930 [hep-th].
7) Y. Okawa, arXiv:0704.0936 [hep-th].
8) Y. Okawa, arXiv:0704.3612 [hep-th].
9) Y. Okawa, L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, hep-th/0611110.
10) G. T. Horowitz, J. D. Lykken, R. Rohm and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57** (1986), 283.
11) H. Fuji, S. Nakayama and H. Suzuki, J. High Energy Phys. **01** (2007), 011; hep-th/0609047.
12) Y. Michishita, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006), 046002; hep-th/0511167.
13) Y. Okawa, J. High Energy Phys. **04** (2006), 055; hep-th/0603159.
14) T. Erler, J. High Energy Phys. **05** (2007), 083; hep-th/0611200.
15) T. Erler, J. High Energy Phys. **05** (2007), 084; hep-th/0612050.
16) E. Fuchs and M. Kroyter, J. High Energy Phys. **05** (2006), 006; hep-th/0603195.
17) E. Fuchs, M. Kroyter and R. Potting, arXiv:0704.2222 [hep-th].
18) D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec and S. H. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B **271** (1986), 93.
19) N. Berkovits, hep-th/0105230.
20) I. Ellwood, arXiv:0705.0013 [hep-th].
21) T. Takahashi and S. Tanimoto, J. High Energy Phys. **03** (2002), 033; hep-th/0202133.
22) J. Kluson, J. High Energy Phys. **12** (2003), 050; hep-th/0303199.
23) T. Takahashi and S. Tanimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **106** (2001), 863; hep-th/0107046.
24) I. Kishimoto and T. Takahashi, J. High Energy Phys. **11** (2005), 051; hep-th/0506240.
25) I. Kishimoto, Y. Michishita and S. Zeze, work in progress.

26) I. Kishimoto and T. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **108** (2002), 591; hep-th/0205275.